UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,417	03/27/2006	John William Green	P71002US0	2948
	7590 04/18/201 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			COX, ALEXIS K	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3785	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/573,417	GREEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALEXIS K. COX	3785		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-62 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34-59, 61, and 62 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the day on the day of the day of the day of the drawing (s) is objected in the drawing (s) is objected to by the drawing (s) is objected to be d	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Cther:			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	etion Summary Pa	urt of Paper No./Mail Date 20110406		

Application/Control Number: 10/573,417 Page 2

Art Unit: 3785

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-33 are cancelled; claims 34-59 and 61-62 are rejected; and claim 60 has been withdrawn without traverse.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 34, 35, 40, 41, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vinson (US Patent No. 2,526,099).

Regarding claim 34, Vinson discloses a device for mixing and regulating the output temperature of a hot liquid and a cold liquid (15, see column 6 lines 34-35), comprising a mixing chamber (46, 55, see column 8 lines 9-17) which has a hot liquid entry port (44) and a first cold liquid entry port (45, see column 7 lines 45-47), an outlet (60, see column 8 lines 38-40), an outlet passage in communication with the outlet of the chamber (61, see column 8 lines 39-40), flow control means within the chamber for altering the proportions of hot and cold liquids admitted through the entry ports into the chamber at any rate of combined output flow (48, 49, 50, 62, see column 7 lines 56-60 and 66-70, see also column 8 lines 55-61); a temperature sensing device adapted to sense the temperature of the output of the mixed liquids from the chamber for controlling the flow control means so that the output temperature at all output flow rates from the chamber does not exceed a selected maximum temperature (50, see column 7

lines 60-66), characterized in that the device further comprises a second cold liquid entry port controlled by the flow control means which communicates with the output passage of the device downstream from there the temperature of the output flow from the chamber is sensed (56, see column 8 lines 15-23).

Regarding claim 35, Vinson discloses the presence of a stationary distributing member and a moving distributing member (43, 50, 51, see column 7 lines 66-74 and column 11 lines 51-53), the stationary distributing member consisting of the immobile parts of the valve and including ports for the supply of hot liquid and cold liquid to the movable distributing member (44, 45, see column 7 lines 45-47), wherein the movable distributing member consists of the movable parts of the valve and regulates the proportions of hot and cold liquid supplied to the hot liquid entry port and the flow rates thereof (see column 7 lines 60-66), and enables complete shut-off of all flows to said ports (43, see column 11 lines 63-69).

Regarding claim 40, the flow control means is arranged so that in use it may be moved into a position effecting complete closure of the first cold liquid entry port (see column 11 lines 74-75 and column 12 lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 41, the convergence space has an axis, a cylindrical wall coaxial with said axis and said flow control means includes a movable member capable of moving within a cylindrical chamber defined by said cylindrical wall (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 63, the method steps described are inherently present in the operation of the valve of Vinson, as shown above.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,417 Page 4

Art Unit: 3785

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 34-56 and 61-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kovach et al (US Patent No. 2,951,641) in view of Vinson (US Patent No. 2,526,099).

Regarding claims 34 and 35, Kovach et al discloses a mixing valve (10, see column 1 line 51) comprising a mixing chamber (21, see column 2 lines 54-55), a hot liquid entry port into said chamber (passage from 18 to 21, see column 1 lines 56-57), a first cold liquid entry port into the chamber (passage from 20 into 21, see column 1 lines 56-57), an outlet from the chamber (52, see column 2 lines 30-31), an outlet passage in communication with the outlet of the chamber (53, see column 2 lines 31-32), flow control means within the chamber for altering proportions of hot and cold liquids admitted through the entry ports into the chamber at any rate of combined output flow (28, see column 2 lines 17-19), and a temperature sensing device (46, see column 2 lines 1-2) adapted to sense the temperature of the output of the mixed liquids from the chamber for controlling the flow control means so that the output temperature at all output flow rates from the chamber does not exceed a selected maximum temperature (see column 2 lines 14-19). Additionally, Kovach et al discloses a stationary distributing member comprised of immobile valve seats, and a moveable distributing member comprised of the mobile valve parts. Kovach et al further discloses a second hot liquid entry port controlled by the flow control means which communicates with the output passage of the device downstream from where the temperature of the output flow from the chamber is sensed (see column 2 lines 53-61). It is noted that Kovach et al does not explicitly disclose a second cold liquid entry port controlled by the flow control means which communicates with the output passage of the device downstream from where the temperature of the output flow from the chamber is sensed. As it is old and well known to use a cold liquid bypass in thermostatic mixing valves to prevent scalding, as shown

by Vinson, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an equivalent cold water bypass in the valve of Kovach et al equivalent to the hot water bypass of Kovach et al in order to prevent scalding.

Regarding claim 36, the valve of Kovach et al comprises a body supporting the movable and stationary distributing members (external portion of valve, see figure 1); sealing means to seal between parts of the movable and stationary distributing members (31, 32, see column 1 lines 58-65), the stationary distributing member comprises a hot liquid entry port (14, see figure 1), a cold liquid entry port (16, see figure 1), a hot liquid outlet port (passage from 14 to 18, see figure 1), a first cold liquid outlet port (passage from 16 to 20, see figure 1), a second hot liquid outlet port (passage from 14 to 60, see figure 1), and wherein the movable distributing member includes a hot liquid transfer cavity (18, see figure 1), a cold liquid transfer cavity (20, see figure 1), and wherein the mixing chamber is a convergence space formed in the body (21, see figure 1) and the hot liquid entry port and cold liquid entry ports are formed in the body and communicate indirectly with the hot liquid outlet port and convergence space, as does the cold liquid entry port (see figure 1); the flow control means is capable of regulating the flow of hot and cold liquids entering the convergence space by opening the hot liquid entry port while closing the first cold liquid entry port and vice versa and is capable of completely closing the hot liquid entry port (28, see column 2 lines 17-19); a temperature sensing portion of the temperature sensing device is located in the outlet of the mixing chamber (see figure 1), and the movable distributing member is movable to a first position where the hot liquid inlet port communicates with

the hot liquid transfer cavity which communicates with the hot liquid outlet port and at the same time the cold liquid inlet port communicates with the cold liquid transfer cavity which communicates with the first cold liquid outlet port (see column 2 lines 24-25); a second position where the hot liquid inlet port communicates with the hot liquid transfer cavity which communicates with the hot liquid outlet port and at the same time with the liquid inlet port communicates with the cold liquid transfer cavity which communicates with the first cold liquid outlet port and the cold liquid transfer cavity also communicates with the second cold liquid outlet port, as would occur with the presence of the cold water passage in place of the hot water passage as disclosed in the rejection of claims 34 and 35; and a third position where the hot liquid inlet port and the cold liquid inlet port do not communicate with any outlet port (see column 2 lines 43-52).

Regarding claim 37, the movable distributing member is movable in an infinitely variable manner between said positions, as this is how standard thermal expansion mechanisms work (see column 2 lines 2-8).

Regarding claim 38, it is noted that the valve of Kovach et al does not explicitly disclose itself to maintain flow rate when there are substantially equal supply pressures of hot and cold liquids. Vinson explicitly discloses the adaptation of the valve to maintain temperature through variant supply pressure (see column 1 lines 40-43). It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to adapt Kovach et al appropriately according to Vinson in order to maintain constant flow rate through normal supply variance.

Regarding claim 39, as the only way for turning a valve completely off to not reduce flow rate is to not have intake beforehand, this limitation has been met.

Regarding claim 40, the flow control means is arranged so that in use it may be moved to a position effecting complete closure of the first cold liquid entry port (see column 2 lines 43-52).

Regarding claim 41, the convergence space has an axis, a cylindrical wall coaxial with said axis and wherein said flow control means includes a movable member capable of moving within a cylindrical chamber defined by said cylindrical wall (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 42, the movable member comprises a partition (36, 38, see figure 1 and column 1 lines 66-67) across the cylindrical wall, slidable to and fro along the axis to provide a seal and forming an orifice (apertures, see column 1 line 66) through the partition, the orifice providing indirect communication between the hot and cold entry ports.

Regarding claims 43 and 44, the first cold liquid entry port communicates with the convergence space via the cylindrical wall and the partition includes a further cylindrical wall which is shaped so that it forms a skirt (see figure 1) which may be positioned over the cold liquid entry port (24, 26, see figure 1 and column 1 lines 58-65). It is noted that Kovach et al does not explicitly disclose the complete halting of input into the mixing chamber of cold fluid, while the valve is still permitting some fluid flow. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include this feature, as otherwise an input hot temperature which was within below the

maximum temperature permissible would be further reduced when flowing through the valve.

Regarding claims 45-46, Kovach et al discloses the hot a hot liquid entry passage (14, see figure 1) which communicates with the convergence space at or adjacent and end of said convergence space via the hot liquid entry port (also see figure 1), and a chamber port is circular in cross-section and is coaxial with the axis, as can be seen from the fact that the hot and cold liquid chambers are annular and therefore the ports from them into the mixing chamber are annular also.

Regarding claim 47, the second hot liquid entry port includes a recess formed in the cylindrical wall lying substantially between surfaces which are normal to the axis, as can be seen from the annularity of the passage associated with the port.

Regarding claims 48 and 61, it is noted that Kovach et al does not disclose the movable distributing member to be able to be rotated. Vincent explicitly discloses the presence of a rotating adjustment means for adjusting the temperature to which the initial mixture is set (51, 174, 176, 137, see column 13 lines 45-53), this temperature adjustment means comprises a single operating lever in the valve of Kovach in view of Vincent. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the set temperature adjustment means of Vincent in the valve or Kovach et al in order to permit alteration of the set temperature without rendering it excessively complex.

Regarding claim 49, the temperatures sensing device is arranged within the body so that in use, the temperature sensing device expands in a direction along the axis on

sensing an increase in liquid temperature and contracts along the axis on sensing a decrease in liquid temperature (see figure 1).

Regarding claims 50-54, the temperature sensing device includes a housing and a piston capable of expanding and contracting and thereby being moved axially to and fro with respect to the housing, coaxially with the axis, and the piston is positioned so that it can directly contact the partition (see figure 1). Additionally, Kovach et al includes a resilient bias which biases the partition and piston towards the most contracted position of the piston of the temperature sensing device and is a compression spring (springs, see figure 1). Further, the partition is cupped and the spring partially surrounds the piston, as can be easily seen in figure 1.

Regarding claims 55 and 56, Kovach et al explicitly discloses means for protecting the temperature sensing device from pressure above a pre-determined maximum from developing within the housing, with the protecting means comprising a second resilient bias which biases movement of the temperature sensing device relative to the body against expansion of the piston so that when a pressure within the chamber exceeds a pre-determined maximum pressure the temperature sensing device moves against the action of the second resilient bias by moving away from the seat (see column 2 lines 20-29).

Regarding claim 62, all the various limitations of claim 62 have been set forth above within this section.

Regarding claim 63, the method steps claimed are obviously present in the valve of Kovach et al in view of Vincent, as the performance of these steps is inherent in the combined structure.

8. Claims 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kovach et al (US Patent No. 2,951,641) in view of Vinson (US Patent No. 2,526,099), further in view of the applicant's own admission of prior art.

Regarding claims 58 and 59, the nesting of one member inside the other causing one to have a convex surface and the other to have a concave surface is clearly disclosed in the annular nature of the Kovach et al reference. Additionally, the spherical aspect of the valve is clearly disclosed on page 2 of the specification to be a common variant.

9. Claims 57-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kovach et al (US Patent No. 2,951,641) in view of Vinson (US Patent No. 2,526,099), further in view of Chamot et al (US Patent No. 6,257,493).

Regarding claim 57, it is noted that Kovach et al in view of Vinson does not explicitly disclose the use of a ceramic disc for the stationary distributing member and movable distributing member. Chamot et al explicitly discloses the use of ceramic discs in a thermostatic mixing valve (see column 2 line 52), and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the ceramic discs of Chamot et al in the system of Kovach et al in view of Vinson in order to use common, more durable, and equivalently inexpensive materials in the system of Kovach et al in view of Vinson.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,417 Page 12

Art Unit: 3785

Regarding claims 58 and 59, it is noted that Kovach et al in view of Vinson does not explicitly disclose the valves to be shaped spherically. Chamot et al explicitly discloses the sphere to be a common valve shape (see column 2 lines 55-56), and it would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the appropriate chambers spherically as it is well known that it is easier for a chamber to withstand pressure when round.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 3/16/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The reasons are as follows.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the user not use a second handle to introduce the additional cold water into the mixing chamber)) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The specific argument cited is that the introduction of cold water is provided by a separate control knob 26, which is different from the control means provided within the mixing chamber; it is then argued that this is different from direct linkage of the flow control means within the mixing chamber to the additional cold water inlet.

The flow control means, as applied both in the previous rejection and in this one, includes both the automatic (49) and manual (62) flow control, and is still within the mixing chamber cited, which includes both main and secondary portions (46, 55) as

cited above. Although this means is different from the one disclosed by the applicant, it is not different from the one claimed by the applicant.

It is argued that a distributing member, which is present in claim 35, is not present in Vinson.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kline (US Patent No. 7,717,351) discloses a mixing valve with multiple cold water inlets, one of which is downstream of the mixing chamber's temperature sensor.
- 12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEXIS K. COX whose telephone number is (571)270-5530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 9:30a.m. to 7:00p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frantz F. Jules/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744